

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,620	MELKER ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
NEIL TURK	1797	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Neil Turk. (3) _____.

(2) Timoth Van Dyke. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 July 2010

Time: 1:00PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 4-27, 29, 30, 32, 33, and 35-37

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner discussed possible amendments to be made to the claims so as to place the claims in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to Examiner's amendments, and Examiner agreed to have the amendments entered by way of an Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jill Warden/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)